

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104384
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Integrated Nutrient Management on Soil Properties, Growth and Yield of Black Gram (Vigna mungo L.) var. Sekhar-2
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Black gram is primarily grown in India, Pakistan, Nepal, and other Asian countries. It is a versatile crop used for various culinary purposes, including making dal, soups, curries, and sweets. It is well adapted to tropical and subtropical climates. Research on its nutrient properties, and on how best to increase yield helps the scientists with more knowledge to increase the crop outs. 2. Suitable 3. The background of the study, aims, and objectives are missing from the abstract. 4. Appropriate 5. Correct 6. Anonymous references are not recommended.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Below standard	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The English grammar needs improvement - The abstract should be redone - The conclusion is not comprehensive 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shumba Tobias Takawira
Department, University & Country	Harare Polytechnic, Zimbabwe