

## Original Research Article

### **Effect of Different Level of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer on Nutritional Status of Inceptisol and Growth Attributes of Radish**

#### **Abstract**

An experiment was conducted during *Rabi* season (December 2021 – March 2022) on central research farm of Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three levels of NPK (0 %, 50 % and 100 %) and three levels of vermicompost (0 %, 50 % and 100 %). The result shows that application of different levels combination of NPK and vermicompost increased growth, yield of radish and improved soil physical and chemical properties. It was recorded from the application of NPK and Vermicompost in treatment T<sub>9</sub> [NPK @ 100 % + Vermicompost @ 100 %] shows maximum bulk density 1.232 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> and 1.240 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, particle density 2.401 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> and 2.406 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, percent pore space 47.64 % and 42.47 %, water holding capacity 50.24 % and 45.76 %, pH 7.25 and 7.32, EC 0.56 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and 0.68 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon 0.48 % and 0.45 %, available nitrogen 318.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 310.06 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, available phosphorus 28.02 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 25.78 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, available potassium 197.24 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 193.62 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm respectively in all treatments.

**Key word:** *Radish, NPK, Vermicompost, Physico-Chemical Properties of Soil, etc.*

#### **Introduction**

Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) is an important winter season -short duration (70-80) vegetable used as root vegetable. It is a member of the Brassicaceae family native place Southeast Asia. It is a popular root crop grown all over the world, in India, in some places it can be grown throughout the year. It is grown for its young fleshy tuberous roots consumed mainly as salted vegetable, eaten as a grated salad. It is annual and beneficial crop. It is cool season crop which is popular in both tropical and temperate region. The fleshy edible portion of the roots develops from primary roots. The best quality roots are produced at temperature between 10 to 15.5 °C (Tripathi *et al.*, 2017).

Nitrogen is an important nutrient for all crops. It increases yield nutrition also increases

the protein content. Deficient plants may have stunted growth and develop yellow- green colour. It accelerates photosynthetic behaviour of green plants as well as growth and development of living tissues specially tiller count in cereals (Azadi et al., 2013).

The supply of phosphorus and potassium to radish crops is necessary especially at the flowering and pod setting stages (Baligar et al., 2001). Large quantities of Phosphorus are found in seed and fruit and it is considered essential for seed formation. It enhances activity of rhizobia and increased the formation of root nodules. Thus, it helps in fixing more of atmosphere nitrogen in root nodules (Patil et al., 2011).

Potassium is one of the seventeen elements which are essential for growth and development of plants. Potassium is required for improving the yield and quality of different crops because of its effect on photosynthesis, water use efficiency and plant tolerance to diseases, drought and cold as well for making the balance between protein and carbohydrates (Singh et al., 2008).

### **Materials and Methods**

A field experiment conducted at the Soil Science Research Farm, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, during the *Rabi* season of (December 2021 – March 2022) growing field radish *var Pusa Rashmi* applied 3 levels of NPK and Biochar respectively NPK and Biochar (0 %, 50 % and 100 %) experiment is lead to observe the physical and chemical parameters. In physical parameters like that bulk density, particle density, pore space and water holding capacity through method by 100 ml graduated measuringcylinder and process by Muthuvel *et al.*, 1992.

#### **In chemical parameters through method by-**

- a) Soil pH – method given by (Jackson, M. L. 1958) through using digital pH meter.
- b) Soil EC ( $\text{dSm}^{-1}$ ) - method given by (Wilcox, 1950) through using digital EC meter.
- c) Organic Carbon (%) - Wet oxidation method given by (Walkley and Black, 1947)
- d) Available Nitrogen ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) - Kjeldhal Method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956)
- e) Available Phosphorus ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) - Colorimetric method by using Jasper single beam U.V. Spectrophotometer at 660 nm wavelength given by (Olsen *et al.*, 1954)
- f) Available Potassium ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) - Flame photometric method by using Metzer Flame Photometer given by (Toth and Prince, 1949)

## **Result and Discussion**

### **1. Physical Properties of Soil**

#### **Bulk density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ )**

The response bulk density of soil was found to be non-significant in levels of organic and inorganic fertilizer. The maximum bulk density of soil  $1.232 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  and  $1.240 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (NPK @ 0% + Vermicompost @ 0 %) and minimum  $1.202 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  and  $1.207 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (NPK @ 100% + Vermicompost @ 100 %) respectively. Similar result has been recorded by Bajshya *et al.*, 2013; Jilani *et al.*, 2010 and Gangwar *et al.*, 2009.

#### **Particle density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ )**

The maximum particle density of soil  $2.401 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  and  $2.406 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (NPK @ 0% + Vermicompost @ 0 %) and minimum  $2.362 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  and  $2.367 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (NPK @ 100% + Vermicompost @ 100 %) respectively. Similar result has been recorded by Bajshya *et al.*, 2013; Jilani *et al.*, 2010 and Gangwar *et al.*, 2009.

#### **Pore space (%)**

The response pore space of soil was found to be significant in levels of NPK and biochar. The maximum pore space of soil 47.64 % and 42.47 % at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (NPK @ 100% + Vermicompost @ 100 %) and minimum 40.52 % and 38.27 % at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (NPK @ 0% + Vermicompost @ 0 %) respectively. Similar result has been recorded by Khatri *et al.*, 2019; Lakra *et al.*, 2017; Jadhav *et al.*, 2014 and Islam *et al.*, 2010.

#### **Water holding capacity (%)**

The response water holding capacity of soil was found to be significant in levels of organic and inorganic fertilizers. The maximum water holding capacity of soil 50.24 % and 45.76 % at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (NPK @ 100% + Vermicompost @ 100 %) and minimum 37.56 % and 34.45 % at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (NPK @ 0% + Vermicompost @ 0 %) respectively. Similar result has been recorded by Khatri *et al.*, 2019; Lakra *et al.*, 2017; Jadhav *et al.*, 2014 and Islam *et al.*, 2010.

### **2. Chemical Properties of Soil**

**Soil pH (1:2.5) w/v**

The response pH of soil was found to be non-significant in levels of organic and inorganic

UNDER PEER REVIEW

fertilizer. The maximum pH of soil 7.25 and 7.32 at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (NPK @ 0% + Vermicompost @ 0 %) and minimum 6.92 and 7.02 at 0- 15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (NPK @ 100% + Vermicompost @ 100 %) respectively. Similar result has been recorded by Mani *et al.*, 2018; Yumnam *et al.*, 2017 and Kumar *et al.*, 2013.

#### **Soil EC (dS m<sup>-1</sup>)**

The response EC of soil was found to be non-significant in levels of organic and inorganic fertilizer. The maximum EC of soil 0.56 dSm<sup>-1</sup> and 0.68 dSm<sup>-1</sup> at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (NPK @ 100% + Vermicompost @ 100 %) and minimum 0.38dSm<sup>-1</sup> and 0.42 dSm<sup>-1</sup> at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (NPK @ 0% + Vermicompost @ 0 %) respectively. Similar result has been recorded by Mani *et al.*, 2018; Yumnam *et al.*, 2017 and Kumar *et al.*, 2013.

#### **Organic carbon (%)**

The response organic carbon of soil was found to be non-significant in levels of organic and inorganic fertilizer. The maximum OC of soil 0.48 % and 0.45 % at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (NPK @ 100% + Vermicompost @ 100 %) and minimum 0.36 % and 0.33 % at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (NPK @ 0% + Vermicompost @ 0 %) respectively. Similar result has been recorded by Mani *et al.*, 2018; Yumnam *et al.*, 2017 and Kumar *et al.*, 2013.

#### **Available nitrogen (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

The response available nitrogen of soil was found to be significant in levels of organic and inorganic fertilizer. The maximum available nitrogen of soil 318.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 310.06 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (NPK @ 100% + Vermicompost @ 100 %) and minimum 292.35 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 285. 23 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (NPK @ 0% + Vermicompost @ 0 %) respectively. Similar result has been recorded by Yadav *et al.*, 2018; Kumari *et al.*, 2017 and Sentiyanla *et al.*, 2010.

#### **Available phosphorus (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

The response available phosphorus of soil was found to be significant in levels of organic and inorganic fertilizer. The maximum available phosphorus of soil 28.02 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 25.78 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (NPK @ 100% + Vermicompost @ 100 %) minimum 16.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 13.36 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (NPK @ 0% + Vermicompost @ 0 %) respectively. Similar result has been recorded by

Yadav *et al.*, 2018; Kumari *et al.*, 2017 and Sentiyanla *et al.*, 2010.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

### **Available potassium (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

The response available potassium of soil was found to be significant in levels of organic and inorganic fertilizer. The maximum available potassium of soil 197.24 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 193.62 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T9 (NPK @ 100% + Vermicompost @ 100%) and minimum 180.32 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 172.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was recorded in treatment T1 (NPK @ 0% + Vermicompost @ 0%) respectively. Similar result has been recorded by Warade *et al.*, 2004; El-Desuki *et al.*, 2005; Parwaiz *et al.*, 2014 and Jaisankar, P., 2018.


UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 1. Effect of different levels of NPK and Vermicompost on bulk density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ ), particle density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ ), pore space (%) and water holding capacity (%) of soil after crop harvest**


Treatments		Bulk density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ )		Particle density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ )		Pore space (%)		Water holding capacity (%)	
		0 – 15 cm	15 – 30 cm	0 – 15 cm	15 – 30 cm	0 – 15 cm	15 – 30 cm	0 – 15 cm	15 – 30 cm
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	NPK @ 0 % + Vermicompost @ 0 %	1.232	1.240	2.362	2.367	40.52	38.27	37.56	34.45
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	NPK @ 0 % + Vermicompost @ 50 %	1.229	1.237	2.368	2.371	40.95	38.62	38.90	35.76
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	NPK @ 0 % + Vermicompost @ 100 %	1.225	1.232	2.372	2.376	41.28	39.03	40.06	36.12
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	NPK @ 50 % + Vermicompost @ 0 %	1.220	1.229	2.375	2.379	42.45	39.66	41.35	36.69
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	NPK @ 50 % + Vermicompost @ 50 %	1.216	1.226	2.380	2.384	43.03	40.14	43.28	37.32
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	NPK @ 50 % + Vermicompost @ 100 %	1.211	1.220	2.386	2.388	44.32	40.72	44.85	38.78
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	NPK @ 100 % + Vermicompost @ 0 %	1.208	1.215	2.389	2.393	45.80	41.05	45.19	39.55
<b>T<sub>8</sub></b>	NPK @ 100 % + Vermicompost @ 50 %	1.205	1.211	2.394	2.398	46.15	41.22	47.72	42.34
<b>T<sub>9</sub></b>	NPK @ 100 % + Vermicompost @ 100 %	1.202	1.207	2.401	2.406	47.64	42.47	50.24	45.76
	<b>F-Test</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	S	S	S
	<b>S.Ed. (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	-	-	-	-	0.72	0.51	0.48	0.42
	<b>C.D. at 0.5%</b>	-	-	-	-	1.46	1.02	0.98	0.86

**Table 2. Effect of different levels of NPK and Vermicompost on pH (1:2.5) w/v, EC (dSm<sup>-1</sup>), organic carbon (%), available nitrogen (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available phosphorus (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available potassium (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of soil after crop harvest**

Treatments		Soil pH (1:2.5) w/v		EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )		Organic carbon (%)		Available nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Available phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Available potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
		0 – 15 cm	15 – 30 cm	0 – 15 cm	15 – 30 cm	0 – 15 cm	15 – 30 cm	0 – 15 cm	15 – 30 cm	0 – 15 cm	15 – 30 cm	0 – 15 cm	15 – 30 cm
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	NPK @ 0 % + Vermicompost @ 0 %	7.25	7.32	0.38	0.42	0.36	0.33	295.35	288.23	16.40	13.36	180.32	172.25
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	NPK @ 0 % + Vermicompost @ 50 %	7.22	7.30	0.40	0.46	0.37	0.35	297.58	290.89	17.63	13.85	182.14	174.42
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	NPK @ 0 % + Vermicompost @ 100 %	7.20	7.27	0.41	0.49	0.39	0.37	299.82	293.15	19.07	14.05	184.85	175.46
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	NPK @ 50 % + Vermicompost @ 0 %	7.16	7.23	0.44	0.52	0.38	0.34	302.27	296.58	20.48	16.62	183.18	178.02
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	NPK @ 50 % + Vermicompost @ 50 %	7.13	7.19	0.45	0.55	0.42	0.38	307.86	300.70	21.74	17.27	186.65	181.80
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	NPK @ 50 % + Vermicompost @ 100 %	7.09	7.15	0.48	0.58	0.45	0.40	310.04	304.37	23.86	19.58	189.82	184.56
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	NPK @ 100 % + Vermicompost @ 0 %	7.04	7.11	0.50	0.62	0.42	0.39	314.26	309.64	24.05	20.22	188.21	187.25
<b>T<sub>8</sub></b>	NPK @ 100 % + Vermicompost @ 50 %	6.98	7.07	0.53	0.65	0.44	0.41	318.15	312.82	26.70	22.55	193.05	190.74
<b>T<sub>9</sub></b>	NPK @ 100 % + Vermicompost @ 100 %	6.92	7.02	0.56	0.68	0.48	0.45	318.42	310.06	28.02	25.78	197.24	193.62
	<b>F-Test</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S
	<b>S.Ed. (±)</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.28	1.85	0.76	0.60	1.20	1.03
	<b>C.D. at 0.5%</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.60	3.74	1.55	1.24	2.42	2.08



**Fig. 1. Effect of different levels of NPK and Vermicompost on bulk density (Mg m<sup>-3</sup>), particle density (Mg m<sup>-3</sup>), pore space (%) and water holding capacity (%) of soil after crop harvest**



**Fig. 2. Effect of different levels of NPK and Vermicompost on pH (1:2.5) w/v, EC (dSm<sup>-1</sup>), organic carbon (%), available nitrogen (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available phosphorus (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available potassium (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of soil after crop harvest**

## Conclusion

According to the results revealed the various level of NPK and Vermicompost used from different sources fertilizers [*i.e.*, Urea (46% N), + SSP (16% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) + MOP (60% K<sub>2</sub>O) in the experiment gave the best result in the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (NPK @ 100% + Vermicompost @ 100 %) followed by treatment T<sub>8</sub>, in T<sub>9</sub> the soil health parameters retained the suitable soil properties, yield attributes and yield of carrot. Therefore, it can be suggested for farmers to obtain best combination Treatment (T<sub>9</sub>) for higher farm income and sustainable agriculture.

## Reference

- Alam, M.K., Farooque, A.M., Nuruzzaman, M. and Uddi, A. F. M. J. (2010) Effect of sowing time on growth and yield of three radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) varieties. Bangladesh Research Publication Journal. 3(3):998-1006.
- Asghar, H. N., Ishaq, M. Zahir, Z. A. Khalid, M. and Arshad, M. (2006) Response of radish to integrated use of nitrogen fertilizer and recycled organic waste. Pak. J. Bot. 38(3): 691-700.
- Baloch, P. A., Din, R. U., Nizamani, F. K., Solangi A. H. and Siddiqui, A. A. (2014) Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers on growth and yield characteristics of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 14(6): 565-569.
- Bouyoucos, G.L, (1927) The hydrometer as a new method for the mechanical analysis of soils. *Soil Sci.* 23: 343-353.
- Chhetri, R. K., Panta, R. and Shrestha, R. K. (2019) Effect of spacing and potassium application on growth and yield of radish *Raphanus Sativus* CV Mino Early. The International Journal of Biological Research. 2(1):176-180.
- Choudhary, R. (2010) Effect of fertility levels and biofertilizers on growth and yield of vegetables pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, submitted to Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Campus-Jobner.
- Dhanajaya, A. (2007) Effect of vermicompost on growth, yield and quality of radish (*Raphanus*

- sativus L.) In enebse sar midir district, Northwestern Ethopia. J. Natural Sci. Res. 6 (3): 2224- 3186. Demirbas, A. (2002) An overview of biomass pyrolysis. Energy Source, 25, 471-482.
- El-Desuki, Salman, S. R., El-Nemr M. A. and Abdel-Mawgoud, A. M. R. (2005) Effect of Plant Density and Nitrogen Application on the Growth, Yield and Quality of Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). Journal of Agronomy, 4(3): 225-229.
- Fisher, R. A. and Yates (1960) *Statistical method for research worker Oliver and Boyd Ltd.* Edin. burgh and London.10.
- Ghimire, R. and Bista, P. (2016) Crop diversification improves pH in acidic soils. Journal of crop Improvement, 30(6): 657-667.
- Jackson, M. L. (1958) Soil chemical analysis Prentice Hall of India Ltd. New Delhi. 219-221.
- Jaisankar, P. (2018) Effect of Integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) cv. Pusa Chetki. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 7(11): 461-466.
- Jat, P. K., Singh, S. P., Devi, S., Mahala, P. and Rolaniya, M. K. (2017) Performance of organic manures, inorganic fertilizer and plant density of yield and quality of Radish. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research., 7(2): 261-266.
- Jilani, M. S., Burki, T. and Waseem, K. (2010) Effect of nitrogen on growth and yield of radish. Journal of Agricultural Research. 48(2): 219-225.
- Kezia, E. J. and David, S. J. (2013) The effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on the growth of radish. Journ. Humanit. & Soc. Sci., 17(3): 51-55.
- Krishnamoorthy, R.V. and Vajranabhaiah, S.N. (1986) Biological activity of earthworm casts on assessment of plant growth promoters' levels in the cost. Proc. Anim. Sic. Indian Aced. Sci., 95:341-351.
- Kumar, P., Kumar, D., Kumar, S. and Sharma, S. (2013). Effect of integrated nutrient management practices on seed yield and economics of seed production in Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L) cv. Chinese pink. Plant Archives., 13(1): 243-246. Mayhead, G. J. (2010) Pyrolysis of Biomass. Berkeley: University of California.

Mani, A. P. and Anburani, A. (2018) Organic nutrient management technique for enhancing growth and physiological parameters in radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *Journal of*

UNDER PEER REVIEW

*Phytology*. 10(2): 40-42.

Muthuvel, P., Udayasoorian, C., Natesan, R. and Ramaswamy, P. P. (1992) Introduction to Soil Analysis, *Tamil Nadu Agricultural University Coimbatore-641002*.

Olsen, S. R., Cole, C. V., Watanabe, F. S. and Dean, L. A. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate ( $\text{NaHCO}_3$ ), *U.S.D.A. Circular*. 939: 1-19.

Parwaiz, A.B., Uddin, R., Nizamani, F.K., Solangi, A.H. and Siddiqui, A.A. (2014) Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium Fertilizers on Growth and Yield characteristics of Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *American-Eurasian Journal Agriculture and Environmental Science*, 14(6):565-569.

Patil, V., Naseeruddin, K. H., and Rana, D.K. (2011) Effect of organic manures on growth, yield and quality of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) cv. pusa desi H.N.B. Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand, 246174, *Hort. Flora R. S.* 5(2): 129-133.

Rajan, M. R., Kumar, P. and Mahalakshmi, R. (2010) Effect of vermicompost prepared from hotel wastes on growth and production of radish and cowpea. *Environment and Ecology*, 25(4): 1194-1197.

Sentiyangla, Kanaujia, S. P., Singh, V. B. and Singh, A.K. (2010) INM for quality production of Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) in acid alfisols. *Journal of Soils and Crops*.,20(1):1- 9.

Sharma, U. G., Vihol, N. J. and Chavda, J.C. (2013) Influence of plant density and nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) cv. 'PUSA CHETKI'. *Asian J. Hort.*, 8(2): 671-676.

Toth, S. J. and Prince, A. L. (1949) Estimation of cation exchange capacity and exchangeable Ca, K and Na content of soil by flame photometer technique. *Soil Sci.*, 67: 439-445.

Tripathi, B. J., Kulwal, L. V. and Mahakal, K. G. (2017) Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on growth and seed yield of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *Vegetable Sci.*, 26(1): 95-96.

Uddain, J., Chowdhury, S. and Rahman, M. J. (2010) Efficacy of different organic manures on growth and productivity of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) *IJAEB*. 3(2): 189- 193.

Verma, P. and Panday, S.N. (2016) Effect of integrated nutrient management in alluvial soil on growth and biochemical responses of radish, Department of Botany, Lucknow

UNDER PEER REVIEW

University, Lucknow 226007, U.P., India J. Biol. Chem. Research.33(1): 34-39.

Walkley, A. and Black, I. A., (1947) Estimation of soil organic carbon by the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science. 47: 29-38.

Warade, A. D., Gange, V. S., Kulwal, L.V. and Jaya, G. (2004) Effect of time of planting and spacing on seed yield and quality of radish var. Pusa Chetki. Agricultural Science Digest. 24: 21-23.

Yadav, N., Reddy, S. S., Sadarunnisa, S., Srinivasarao, G., Deepthi, K. Y. and Reddy, A. R. (2018) Root yield and nutrient uptake of Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) as influenced by the application of organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen and their combinations. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 6(4): 3116-3119.

Yumnam, A., Mandal, A. R., Singh, T. M. and Devi, N. S. (2017) Impact of organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen and water management on Tomato-French Bean by Radish cropping system. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci., 6(12): 4110-4117.