

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_102538
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Diversity of Fifty Coffee Genotypes using Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) Markers.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript could be interesting for <i>Coffea</i> breeding programs in Nigeria. The main issue is that they should indicate the species of <i>Coffea</i>, and they could include agromorphological traits in order to make sense the dendogram results. 2. The manuscript title is adequate since the overall research objective is to determine coffee genetic diversity and related results are presented. The discussion should be improve. 3. The manuscript abstract is comprehensive; although, there is a partial coherence with the introduction, where there is more emphasis in coffee importance in human health, but not in the global or Nigerian economy. 4. Most subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, SSR is a useful molecular marker aimed to determine genetic diversity of populations. The issue in this manuscript is that how they separated the bands after PCR amplification. 6. There are very few references relevant to the research. It should be included more literature review to enrich the introduction and discussion. 7. The introduction has an extensive narration about the benefits of coffee drink, which could not be that redundant. The authors should include a revision on previous researches about <i>Coffea</i> genetic diversity for breeding purposes. There is no point mentioning SSR genetic diversity in other crops. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English is not bad in this manuscript, but some paragraphs should be rewritten. There is redundancy mainly in the introduction.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	There are some comments and suggestions in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Julio Alfonso Chia Wong
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional Agraria de la Selva, Perú