

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_103121
Title of the Manuscript:	CROP PRODUCTION IN IRRIGATION SCHEMES IN TURKANA COUNTY, KENYA, BEFORE AND DURING COVID-19 (2018-2021)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Manuscript is important scientifically for its giving a picture of a complex emergency and food production 2. The title of the article is suitable 3. The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. See the comment on the manuscript. 4. The introduction is not appropriate. Give the Background information of Turkana County not Kenya. Let you're the introduction comprise of (i) Introduction (ii) Food production in Kenya and the ASALs (iii) Turkana County and should be a summary 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct since it contains all the parts of a scientific paper 6. The references are current and sufficient 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The English language is suitable for scholarly communication	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	See so corrections guides on the manuscript. Format your work to look scholarly. Justify the margins and make the paragraphs clear. Let's also have a clarity in the findings before COVID and after COVID. You may consider carrying out ANOVA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maurice Manyonge Pepela
Department, University & Country	Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kenya