

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_103626
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF WEST AFRICAN EBONY (DIOSPYROS MESPILIFORMIS) SEED EXTRACTS ON SELECTED BACTERIAS OF UPPER RIVER BENUE SURFACE WATER
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Based on review of the manuscript entitled " ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF WEST AFRICAN EBONY (DIOSPYROS MESPILIFORMIS) SEED EXTRACTS ON SELECTED BACTERIAS OF UPPER RIVER BENUE SURFACE WATER ", the manuscript is precise and well-written. All data and analysis are relevant and clear based on the theory, although clarification of more details about the procedures in methods, It is better to put the company name of chemicals, at least, and briefly explain the procedure Then for more details, everyone who interested in, can check the references. Overall it is a high quality manuscript and well done</p> <p>Add new relevant REFs (2020-2023). The majority of REFs are old, and should be replaced with recent ones. There is no reference beyond the year 2016 in this manuscript</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maryam Shamsi
Department, University & Country	University of Arak Medical Science, Iran