

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_103444
Title of the Manuscript:	Spatial Assessment of Elevation-Based Flood Risk Level of Communities and Mitigation Measures in the Wetlands Areas of Rivers and Bayelsa States, Niger Delta Region, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the manuscript is important, but it needs to be improved upon to put it in shape for publication. The title is not suitable. It needs to be reworked. Something like this should be thought of for the title "Spatial Assessment of Flood Risk Levels of Wetland Communities and Mitigation Measures in Rivers and Balyesa States of Nigeria." Not good enough. It requires reworking to get into shape. Not appropriate. There is a need for differentiation between the Introduction and the Literature Review, for example. The author needs help. The whole manuscript needs proofreading to correct the language, spelling, and grammar. Not all citations are referenced, e.g., National Population Commission (2006). Let the author consult more recent works, improve on the referencing styles, and update the APA style. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The language /English quality needs improvement. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The whole manuscript requires overhauling in terms of subsection arrangement, spelling, and language. The substance of the manuscript also requires attention from a senior research fellow to put it into shape.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oladayo Ramon Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	University of Science &Technology, Nigeria