

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_101621
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Inventory Management and Financial Performance of Manufacturing Firms in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Review study on manufacturing firms in Nigeria

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The study seeks to access the impact of inventory management and organizational performance of selected manufacturing firms in Nigeria. The ex-post facto designs was adopted in this study.</p> <p><b>Needs to be changed</b></p> <p><b>YES</b></p> <p>Yes, but diagrams related to the entire overall process flow of the techniques used and flow of data is missing. Graphical representations of results must be made. Implementation part tools used and screenshots is missing , suggested to add.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Objectives and research hypotheses needs to be modified, rather than putting them as single points. Can be elaborated Review content can be reduced. It is very lengthy Tables must be neatly formatted Alignment of text is also needed with proper spaces</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Accepted with minor changes</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>P Rajarajeswari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>KL University, India</b>