

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_103796
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital and Human Resource Transformation on Financial Technology Era: A Case Study inside Banking Industry
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, it covers the core research results and summarizes the outcomes.</p> <p>2. NO. "Digital and Human Resource Transformation during Financial Technology Era: A Case Study in the Banking Industry" it will be better to update the prepositions.</p> <p>3. Yes, but the typos should be corrected "with government work programs"</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are up to date and sufficient.</p> <p>*Spelling errors throughout the article should be reviewed, there should be a/one space between the words, and there should be a space after the punctuation marks.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>**Spelling errors throughout the article should be reviewed, there should be a/one space between the words, and there should be a space after the punctuation marks.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Serra Altinoluk</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mugla Sitki Kocman University, Turkiye</b>