

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_103248
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF ENRICHMENT SPENT COFFEE GROUND COMPOST IMPLEMENTED IN MUSTARD PLANTS (Brassica Juncea L)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJHR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1.The work contains several combined treatments and seems to be interesting. However, the presentation of the majority of section are very poor and should be rewritten.</p> <p>2. The current title is very confused and not clear, I suggest to the author to modify it to be more specific and attractive. For exemple you could change it by "Assesing the beneficial effect of spent coffee ground compost under mustard plants"</p> <p>3. This section is not clear, author should revise it and</p> <p>4. All sections should be rewritten, there is some problems in the structure</p> <p>5. In its current state, we couldn't conclude about the scientific correct of the manuscript</p> <p>6.No, the references are not enough sufficient, also author shpuld add some other recent references</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>In its current state, the level of English throughout this manuscript does not meet the journal's required standard. You may wish to ask a native speaker to check the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Khaoula Boudabbous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Agronomic Institute of Tunisia, Tunisia</b>