

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JGEESI_103174</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECT OF ABATTOIR WASTE ON SURFACE WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS OF IWOFE RIVER, PORT-HARCOURT, RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA.</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>THE EFFECT OF ABATTOIR WASTE ON PHYSIOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SURFACE WATER IN, PORT-HARCOURT, RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA.</p> <p>THE ABSTRACT IS OKAY BUT IONS SHOULD BE WRITTEN CORRECTLY</p> <p>OKAY</p> <p>YES IS CORRECT</p> <p>The references are not sufficient and recent, the researcher should look for recent references</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The sample collection was written like a proposal not as a report</li> <li>Microbiology analysis was mentioned under aim and objectives but no result for that. I suggest Microbiology analysis should be removed from the aim and methodology</li> <li>Discussion were not related with previous studies</li> <li>The probably reasons for increases and decreases in the physiochemical properties of the water analyzed should be suggested</li> <li>The version of SPSS used should be started</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	O moyajowo Olaniyi Seun
Department, University & Country	Kogi State University, Nigeria