

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104331
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF BIOFERTILIZERS ON GROWTH, FLOWERING, QUALITY AND YIELD OF CHRYSANTHEMUM (DENDRANTHEMA GRANDIFLORA T.) CV. SNOWBALL UNDER OPEN FIELD CONDITIONS OF PRAYAGRAJ
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Bio-fertilizers are important components for sustainable agriculture and helps to farmers to mitigate the production costs especially in high value horticulture crops. Keeping in this view, this research study is important in reducing nitrogen and phosphorous fertilizers. These results will be useful in cut flower chrysanthemum cultivated farmers for enhancing their net returns.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title may change to "EFFECT OF BIO-FERTILIZERS ON GROWTH, FLOWERING, YIELD AND QUALITY OF CHRYSANTHEMUM (DENDRANTHEMA GRANDIFLORA T.) CV. SNOWBALL UNDER OPEN FIELD CONDITIONS OF PRAYAGRAJ	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	They have mentioned benefit cost ratio of this study in abstract but not found in manuscript. Cost-benefit ratio should be mentioned in manuscript results and discussion along with proper analysed data.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Need to add more recent references Lot of mistakes in reference section Lacking uniformity in writing references These things need to be corrected before accepting for publication	

## Review Form 1.7

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ In general snow ball types will grow upto 60 cm height. In this experiment, the plant height is very low in all the treatments.</li><li>➤ Flower diameter also maximum will reach 12-13 cm. But here the values are more (16 cm). Please verify once</li><li>➤ It is better to mention parameters like leaf area, dry matter etc. for better understanding of effect of bio-fertilizers on plant growth</li></ul>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	K. Ravindra Kumar
Department, University & Country	Dr.YSR Horticultural University, India