

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103756
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOFORTICATION OF ZINC AND IRON THROUGH FORTIFIED ORGANICS ALONG WITH NPK LEVELS ON THE QUALITY, NUTRIENT UPTAKE AND PRODUCTIVITY OF BRINJAL IN COASTAL SALINE SOIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is. Manuscript shows an option to improve results of Brinjal productivity in coastal saline soil using biofortification.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is.</p> <p>3. Yes, it is.</p> <p>4. Yes, they are. Please complete the following sections:</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">a. Methodology section: describe how to do the measurements of each variable that is reported in result section (Yield parameters, Quality parameters, Macro nutrients uptake, etc).</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">b. Conclusion section: What experiments should follow from this research?</p> <p>5. Yes, I do but:</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">a. Please review the significant figures where quantities with decimals are reported but the unit of measurement of a variable is whole numbers (Number of fruits plant-1, Number of branches plant-1). Based on the above, review again the statistical analysis of said variables.</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">b. Each figure and table must contain the description of the nomenclature and not only the first table.</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">c. Also please include the description of units of the "y" axis in Figure 1.</p> <p>6. Yes, they are.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it is.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Photos are desirable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	María Gretel Michel Barba
Department, University & Country	Mexico