

# INFLUENCE OF SOWING TIME ON VARIED DURATION REDGRAM GENOTYPES IN YSR KADAPA DISTRICT

## ABSTRACT

It is well known that redgram is a photo sensitive long duration crop of 180 days and taking up redgram will occupy the land for the year. But now because of the availability of photo insensitive short (120 days) and medium duration redgram genotypes (150 days), farmers can able to go for double cropping after harvesting of redgram with additional benefits. Hence the experiment was conducted during kharif seasons of 2018 and 2019 at Agricultural Research Station, Utukur, YSR kadapa district of Andhra Pradesh to evaluate the performance of varied duration redgram genotypes under changing climate. The experiment was laid out in split plot design taking three dates of sowing i.e. July I F.N, July II F.N and august I F.N in main plots and three varied duration i.e. long (180 days) (ICPL 87119), medium (LRG-52) (150 days) and short duration genotypes (120 days) (ICPL 20338) in sub- plots in three replications. The results indicated that **Sowing** of long or medium duration redgram varieties in july month is recommended for higher yield in redgram in YSR kadapa district of Andhra Pradesh.

**Key words:** Growth, Time of sowing, Varied duration redgram genotypes, Yield

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Redgram (*Cajanus cajan* L.) commonly known as pigeon pea, arhar or tur is a major pulse crop with its deep roots and drought resistance ability cultivated in around 3.86 M ha in India during *kharif* season under rainfed conditions. India ranks first in area and production of pigeon pea contributing to 70-80% of its world's production. Redgram with its virtue of having 22% protein in the seed, meeting the major share of protein needs of the vegetarians. Being a legume crop, Pigeon pea also enhances soil fertility through leaf litter and biological nitrogen fixation (Udaya et al., 2015). Though benefits of redgram to contribute to food security, nutrition, forage and income generation are indisputable, erratic climate patterns, long duration together with photo and thermosensitivity of the crop restricted its expansion to wider latitudes and altitudes. So efforts are being continuously made for reducing the duration for redgram crop and as a result medium (155-170 days) and short duration (120 days) varieties were released in to the market. It was further reported that these short duration varieties due to photo and thermo insensitive nature very well fit in to the intensive cropping areas in all the seasons. Further, the reasons for low yields of pulses in India were mainly attributed to growing in poor/marginal lands, unawareness of recommended package of practices especially optimum sowing time, suitable variety, improper plant population, non-fertilization and improper plant protection measures due to improper diagnosis of the pest and diseases. Among all these, time of sowing largely determines the growth and yield of any crop including pulses. Hence there is a great need to determine the performance of varied duration genotypes of redgram under changing climate scenario during kharif in YSR kadapa district of Andhra Pradesh.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during kharif seasons of 2018 and 2019 at Agricultural Research Station, Utukur, YSR kadapa district of Andhra Pradesh to evaluate the performance of varied duration redgram genotypes under changing climate. The experimental site was sandy loam and it was neutral in reaction with a  $P^H$  of 7.38, EC of 0.20 dS  $m^{-1}$ , low in organic carbon and available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and high in available potassium. The experiment was laid out in split plot design taking three dates of sowing i.e. July I F.N, July II F.N and August I F.N in main plots and three varied duration i.e. long (180 days) (ICPL 87119), medium (LRG-52) (150 days) and short duration genotypes (120 days) (ICPL 20338) in sub- plots in three replications. By using tractor drawn cultivator the field was ploughed twice and levelled by using blade harrow. Healthy seeds of redgram varieties with good germination per cent (95%) were used for sowing purpose. The seeds were sown by dibbling in furrows at a depth of 5 cm at a spacing of 120 cm x 20 cm for long and medium duration genotypes and 30 cm x 10 cm for short duration genotypes and which were covered and compacted immediately for better germination. Thinning was done at 15 days after sowing by retaining one healthy seedling hill<sup>-1</sup> and gap filling was done wherever necessary. The recommended dose of 20 and 50 kg N and  $P_2O_5$   $ha^{-1}$  was applied through urea and single super phosphate respectively. Inter cultivation was done in inter rows and hand hoe weeding was done between the plants. Other crop husbandry practices were followed as per ANGRAU recommendation for successful raising of the crop. A total rainfall of 147 and 766 mm was received in 13 and 48 days during 2018 and 2019 respectively against the average rainfall of 750 mm for the region. The weather data during the crop period is presented in table 3.

### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **3.1 Effect of time of sowing**

Plant height was not significantly influenced by the time of sowing in both the years. The number of branches per plant were also not significantly influenced by the time of sowing during 2018 where as during 2019, August I fortnight sown crop recorded lower number of branches compared to July sown crop. The time periods of days to 50% flowering and number of days to maturity were not significantly influenced by the time of sowing in both the years. During both the years, the number of pods per plant were more in early sown crop and with delay in sowing time the number of pods were decreased. Rain fall also significantly influenced the length of pods, the number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight with lower values during 2018 which was drought year compared to 2019. But all these yield attributing characters like length of pods, number of seeds per pod, and 100 seed weight were not significantly influenced by the time of sowing. Consequently, there is significant impact of rainfall on the productivity of the redgram with lower yields during drought year of 2018 compared to 2019. Higher seed yield of redgram was recorded in July I fortnight sown crop which was on par with July II fortnight crop but was significantly superior to August I fortnight sown crop. The beneficial effect of early sowing in influencing yield of redgram was also confirmed by Nagaraju *et al.* (2022) and Kithan *et al.* (2020).

#### **3.2 Effect of genotype**

Taller plants with a greater number of branches per plant was recorded in long and medium duration genotypes compared to short duration genotype. This might be due to genetic makeup of the plant. The long duration variety ICPL 87119 recorded higher number of pods per plant which was closely followed by medium duration variety LRG-52. Whereas the short duration variety (ICPL 20338) recorded significantly lower number of pods per plant. The pod length and number of seeds per pod were not significantly influenced by the varied duration genotypes. Higher 100 seed weight was reported with long and

medium duration genotypes which was on par with each other but significantly lower seed weight was recorded with short duration genotype i.e ICPL 20338. Long duration genotype ICPL 87119 recorded higher seed yield followed by medium duration genotype (LRG- 52) but both are on par with each other. Lower seed yield was recorded with short duration genotype in both the years. Sahajadeva et al (2021) also reported higher yield and BC ratio with long duration varieties like LRG 41 but suggested to go for short duration varieties for late sown conditions under rain fed situation which can escape terminal moisture stress and under intensive cropping situations.

#### **4.CONCLUSION**

**Sowing** of long or medium duration redgram varieties in july month is recommended for higher yield in redgram in YSR kadapa district of Andhra Pradesh. The performance of short duration varieties in this region is not lucrative to farmers.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. Kithan, L, Malini BS, Longchar A. Effect of planting dates on the performance of promising pigeonpea genotypes under NEZ. *Int. J. of Economic Plants*.2020, 7(1): 006-008.
2. Kumar A, Dhanoji MM, Meena MK. Phenology and productive performance of pigeonpea as influenced by date of sowing. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* .2018,7(5):266-268.
3. Nagaraju D, Kharbade SP, Sthool VA, Shaik AA, Jadhav J.D, Balasubramaniyan R. Impact of yield attributes and yields of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) varieties under different sowing windows. *Int. J. of environment and climate change* 2022, 12 (10): 753 – 762.
4. Sahajadeva D, varaprasadarao,c.h.,, vinayalakshmi, P, Prasada Rao GMV. Short duration varieties of pigeon pea perform better under late sown conditions in rainfed areas. *J. of Krishi Vignan*. 2021, 9(2): 225-228.
5. Udhaya ND, Vimalendren L, Letha K R, Sangamithra S , Kalaiyarasan V. A Review on biological advantage of Pigeonpea intercropping influenced by different cropping geometries. *Int. J. of Agric. Sciences Research*.2015; 5: 103-112.

Table 1 Growth of varied duration redgram genotypes as influenced by time of sowing

Treatments	Plant height (cm)		Number of Branches per plant		Days to 50% flowering		Days to maturity	
	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
<b>Time of sowing</b>								
July I F.N	145	139	13.4	12.43	135	140	180	186
July II F.N	140	134	13.0	12.42	130	136	175	178
August I FN	141	145	13.6	10.88	128	130	170	175
<b>Sig</b>	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>P value</b>	0.72	0.07	0.67	0.015	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.07
<b>Varieties</b>								
ICPL-87119	146	152	19.2	12.36	146	149	180	185
LRG-52	142	139	21.0	13.13	130	134	170	175
ICPL-20338	102	107	3.00	3.24	50	55	110	115
<b>Sig</b>	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
<b>P value</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 2 Yield attributes and yield of varied duration redgram genotypes as influenced by time of sowing

Treatments	No. of Pods/ plant		Pod length (cm)		Seeds/pod		100 seed wt (g)		seed yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
<b>Time of sowing</b>										
July I F.N	86.08	109.75	3.03	4.79	2.11	3.67	7.33	10.05	452	832
July II F.N	81.99	93.77	3.13	4.99	2.16	3.87	7.22	10.22	406	814
August I FN	64.99	76.93	3.20	4.90	2.12	3.87	7.22	10.55	311	788
<b>Sig</b>	*	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	**
<b>P value</b>	0.04	0.64	0.14	0.36	0.74	0.11	0.78	0.08	0.16	0.07
<b>Varieties</b>										
ICPL-87119	100.6	113.84	4.37	4.82	3.68	3.82	11.0	10.44	652	1010
LRG-52	94.5	107.91	4.98	5.01	3.79	3.78	10.7	10.83	516	930
ICPL-20338	20.3	25.04	3.98	4.00	3.60	3.80	7.38	7.55	405	495
<b>Sig</b>	**	**	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	**	**	**
<b>P value</b>	0.000	0.000	0.35	0.33	0.63	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.007	0.008

**Table 3. Month wise Rainfall distribution during the crop period**

Month	2018		2019		2018		2019	
	Rainfall (mm)	Rainy days	Rainfall (mm)	Rainy days	Maximum Temperature (°C)	Minimum Temperature (°C)	Maximum Temperature (°C)	Minimum Temperature (°C)
July	0	0	125.2	6	35.70	27.0	36.20	27.25
August	51.6	5	168.8	8	34.30	26.1	33.95	26.83
September	19.8	2	126.6	9	35.15	25.3	33.43	25.35
October	37.0	2	181.2	10	35.04	23.0	31.97	24.29
November	14.8	2	50.6	5	32.25	21.8	31.42	21.96
December	0	0	23.4	2	31.14	20.8	29.35	19.87
January	0	0	9.0	2	31.43	17.5	31.92	19.30
February	0	0	0	0	35.71	21.4	33.91	20.02