

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |                                                                                             |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | International Journal of Pathogen Research                                                  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJPR_104076                                                                              |
| Title of the Manuscript: | MICROBIOLOGICAL STUDY OF CONVENTIONAL DRINKS IN MIRPUR AREA, NORTH DHAKA CITY OF BANGLADESH |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                          | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?<br><br>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?<br><br>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?<br><br>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><br><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | yes<br><br>Study type should be mention in title as cross sectional type was not mention in title.<br><br>No, but sample size was not mention in abstract.<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | yes                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Key words should be in alphabetical manner<br>Introduction is very comprehensive, should write in appropriate way.                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                               |

### PART 2:

|                                              | Reviewer's comment                                                    | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |                                                                                                                                                                               |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                              |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Jasmina D. Acharya</b>    |
| Department, University & Country | <b>MAM University, India</b> |