

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_104449</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Analysis of Farmers' Respondents on Awareness and Knowledge of Climate Change in Agriculture in Nagaland State India.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p><b>Yes, it is. Identification of the farmers' level of awareness regarding the climate change is crucial for designing policies targeting climate change.</b></p> <p><b>Ye, it is.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, it is.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, they are.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, it is.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, they are.</b></p>	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>	<p>The paper is well written. It would increase the scientific importance of the manuscript if the authors included age and gender characteristics and the relations between them and the level of climate change awareness. More detailed remarks are to be found in the manuscript.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Barbara Wieliczko</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute for Rural and Agricultural Development, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland</b>