

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104212
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of sulphur and foliar application of thiourea on growth and yield of Cluster bean (<i>Cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	I think this research is worthy to be published Yes the title is suitable The abstract should be improved The subsections are appropriated but contents should be improved The approach to the research is good but the manuscript should be improved Yes references are enough	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some sentences with confuse writing	
Optional/General comments	I am enclosing the reviewed manuscript with the observations There are some observations in highlighted sections, and some suggestions in red font	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Maura Margarita Teutli León
Department, University & Country	Benemerita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Mexico