

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_103071
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges of lack of community Awareness and its impact on child sexual assault in Tanzania: The case of Arusha, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is important for scientific community because it highlights the result of findings which suggests that Child sexual assault is on the rise due to some community, traditional and personal biases; while stressing on support of community response in reducing the social menace. The research findings will definitely add to existing literature in this regard.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</p> <p>In lieu of attending to the underlisted corrections, the researcher needs to observe punctuations and typographical errors in the work.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the grammatical use of English is suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Observe the following corrections and remarks:</p> <p>ABSTRACT: Page 1, line 1: Correct the sentence to – This study was intended to... Page 1, paragraph1, line 6: Restructure the sentence. Page 1, paragraph 1, line: ...but rather on family/individual basis</p> <p>INTRODUCTION: Page 2, paragraph 1, line 4: Correct sentence to – ...as generally, knowledge created... Page 2, paragraph 1, line 6: ...action, thus, community awareness generation is... Page 2, paragraph 1, last line – Observe punctuations. Page 2, paragraph 2, line 4: ...it is a problem that violates children human rights Page 2, paragraph 2, line 5: For example, the value... Page 2, paragraph 2, line 8: Hepworth, et al (observe the law of first mention, list all authors here). Page 2, paragraph 2, line 12: Check and correct grammar.</p> <p>Page 3, paragraph 1, line 2: CSA (observe law of first mention and write out the full meaning with the abbreviation in bracket). Page 3, paragraph 2, line 8: United Nations Page 3, paragraph 2, line 9: Check and correct the brackets Page 3, paragraph 2, line 11: ...and increased steadily</p> <p>Page 4, paragraph 1, line 6: ...coded, classified and entered... Page 4, paragraph 1, line 8: ...were analyzed using descriptive and... Page 4, paragraph 1, line 9: was analyzed using themes</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Page 5, paragraph 1, line 2-4: Observe punctuations Page 5, paragraph 1, line 7-8: Correct the grammar Page 5, paragraph 2, line 1: However, when the subjects of the study were asked...</p> <p>Page 8, paragraph 3, line 2: Correct word to – red-handed Page 8, last paragraph, line 5: Correct the sentence</p> <p>Page 9, paragraph 1, line 5: Therefore, (observe punctuation mark) Page 9, last paragraph, line 3: The community therefore, responds to... Also observe other grammatical corrections in the paragraph.</p> <p>Page 10, line 1: Further, ... Page 10, last paragraph: Observe punctuations</p> <p>Page 11, paragraph 2, line 9: This is relative to... Page 11, paragraph 2, line 10: Despite the good plan, flaws...</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nkiruka Elizabeth Okezie
Department, University & Country	Alvan Ikoku University of Education, Nigeria