

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_103125
Title of the Manuscript:	Erythrocyte indices in asymptomatic malaria infected pregnant women
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, The number and morphological changes of RBCs are common in anaemia. In the present study, it was concluded that there is a significant decrease in haemoglobin and packed cell volume and a significant increase in red cell distribution width in asymptomatic malaria.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>As per your result, most of your P-values were not significant. Justify the reason and include the criteria for the final conclusion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. Specify the aim of the study and describe the discussion part accordingly. You mentioned only decreasing hemoglobin and PCV and increasing the Red cell distribution width, but you did not mention the relationship between these changes and asymptomatic malaria in pregnant women. Which criteria have you chosen for selection and the number of participants for this study? justify</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The present study is worth in scientific community and also help to explain the significance of nutrition during pregnancy and changes of RBCs indices reflect in certain diseases</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	U. S. Jijith
Department, University & Country	Govt. Medical College, India