

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_103741
Title of the Manuscript:	CHOLESTATIC BRADYCARDIA: THE STORY OF RISING BILIRUBIN AND THE SLOWING HEART – A CASE REPORT OF A 74 YEARS OLD FEMALE PATIENT AT UNIVERSITY OF NIGERIA TEACHING HOSPITAL, ENUGU, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Case report

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The reported case report is an interesting patient and has many educational points. But it is recommended:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Change the title....case report of cholestatic bradycardia</li> <li>2. Change the written structure of the article.</li> <li>3. There are many grammatical and spelling mistakes that must be corrected.</li> <li>4. The highlighted references are not up-to-date and it is recommended to replace them with up-to-date references.</li> <li>5. In the discussion section, the learning points of the reported case should be compared with different articles.</li> <li>6. The type of treatment proposed in these patients should be explained.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Maryam Aliramezany</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>