

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_103025
Title of the Manuscript:	On the estimation of dormant cell population in cancer patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The authors should include some more references from the recent years.</p> <p>Overall, the paper is well-written and makes a valuable contribution to the field. However, there are a few minor issues that need to be addressed. I will suggest the following significant changes to improve this paper.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Improve the quality of the paper by correcting grammatical errors and spelling mistakes. 2. Add few line on the application of such approach in the introduction. 3. The literature survey and methodology are strong, but there are a few areas that need improvement. Author can add relevant and recent paper in Related work section. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shalini Puri and Satya Prakash Singh, "Advanced Applications on Bilingual Document Analysis and Processing Systems," International Journal of Applied Metaheuristic Computing (IJAMC), Vol. 11, Issue 4, Article 8, pp. 149-193. IGI Global, October 2020. • Shalini Puri and Satya Prakash Singh, "A Fuzzy Matching based Image Classification System for Printed and Handwritten Text Documents," Journal of Information Technology Research (JITR), Vol. 13, Issue 2, Article 10, pp. 155-194. IGI Global, April 2020. 4. The conclusion is looking small in content adds some extra points to make it more clear. Also, add a few more points in future works. <p>Tables should be cited in the text. All the tables should be explained.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The authors should check the article for the grammatical errors and they should correct them.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Use the images with 300 DPI.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shalini Puri
Department, University & Country	Manipal University, India