

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_103028
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance appraisal of women self help groups
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Self Help Groups play a very important role in transforming the lives of rural poor, especially women. The manuscript can help the scientific community in devising methods (after understanding the reasons for poor performance of SHGs) that can help achieve anti poverty goals along with building leadership skills among the poor, improving nutrition, boosting school enrolment and much more.</p> <p>The Title is not accurately suggestive of the purpose and scope of study. My suggestion is to make modification in the title accordingly. Further, the first letters of each word in the title should be in upper case.</p> <p>The abstract needs modification: It should clearly specify the background, methods, results and conclusion of the study.</p> <p>The manuscript should follow the following structure: Background and Introduction, Review of Literature, Methods, Results, Discussion and Conclusion. However, in this manuscript Introduction and Literature Review are overlapping. They should be distinguished clearly.</p> <p>The research results can be supplemented by using visual methods like scatter plot chart or scatter diagram.</p> <p>References need to be updated, latest is from 2018. Few references should also be added. References should be listed according to the format of the journal. The author to go through the guidelines mentioned in the MS Word paper template given under 'General Guideline for Authors'</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The manuscript contains cumbersome sentences and there are problems with sentence structure and grammar. The yellow highlighted areas in the manuscript indicate language errors. Green highlighted area indicates that the sentences require reframing. The English quality in the manuscript is quite poor and requires major revision. So, it is strongly recommended that the manuscript should be carefully checked by experts.</p> <p>The general editorial policy of the journal clearly states "It is author's responsibility to improve the English quality (if required) by any other third party service."</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The font style and size is not uniform throughout the manuscript. For instance, Objectives: Times New Roman Methodology- Calibri (body)</p> <p>The text does not adhere to the stylistic and bibliographic requirements outlined in the Author Guidelines.</p> <p>The author has not adhered to the General Guidelines for the authors. Dear Author, please refer to the MS Word paper template given under the guidelines.</p> <p><u>I have highlighted matters requiring the attention of the author in the attached original manuscript. A summary of the recommendations have been given at the end of the manuscript.</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kavita Kumra
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi Government Degree College, India