

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Food Science Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AFSJ_103889</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nutritional and Antioxidant Properties of Resistant Starch-Based Flour Blends from Unripe Plantain, Pigeon Pea and Rice-Bran</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. I think this manuscript is very important, because the ingredients that are easy to get have good nutritional and antioxidant content. In addition, if it is related to the physiological side, resistant flour from raw bananas, pigeon peas and rice bran is very beneficial for people who have health problems such as: obesity, diabetes, cholesterol and cancer.</p> <p>2. In my opinion, the title is less specific, it is better to give a title like the following " Determination of Nutritional and Antioxidant Content of Flour Mixture from Raw Plantains, Pigeon Beans and Rice Bran by ANOVA method"</p> <p>3. in the research objectives section needs to be corrected as follows "to determine the amount of nutrients and antioxidants of a mixture of raw plantain flour, pigeon pea and rice bran as a functional food product"</p> <p>4. Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. In my opinion, this manuscript is scientifically correct, only in the conclusion it is necessary to add the value of Proximate Compositions, Mineral Compositions, Amino Acid Compositions, In-Vitro Antioxidant Assay, <math>\alpha</math>-amylase and <math>\alpha</math>-glucosidase Inhibition Activities, which have values according to the references used.</p> <p>6. I think the reference is enough.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>I think writing language is more specific and to the point, because there are many words that should not be written in this article, please re-read the manuscript, and make sentences that are easy for readers to understand, simple but clear.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dina Asmaul Chusniyah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia</b>