



JournalName:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_104013
TitleoftheManuscript:	Seasonal Rainfall Forecast Verification Analysis for Vridhachalam, Tamil Nadu
TypeoftheArticle	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscripts should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Incomplete the abstract. The abstract does not present the research background. The abstract should include a brief introduction, objective, methods, results, discussion, and conclusion.</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript consists of an abstract, introduction, methodology, results, discussion, conclusions, and bibliography.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, however, it needs improvement in perfecting this manuscript. In the introduction, add previous research related to this research. Very few references in the discussion.</p> <p>6. Yes, most of the references quoted by the last 10 years, but more literature review is needed. The referenced literature is scanty. Need to add references to the last 10 years related to this research. In this manuscript, there are only 15 cited references and 4 references of more than 10 years</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The quality of the English articles is suitable for scientific communication, but needs improvement. The English in the manuscript has been corrected 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In perfecting this manuscript, some parts of this paper need to be improved, which are the abstract, adding literature in the discussion, the discussion explained in more detail, and references need improvement.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yelin Adalina
Department, University & Country	Indonesia