

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103947
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of plant growth regulators on floral characteristics and vase life of Gladiolus (Gladiolus grandiflorus L.) Cv. Saffron
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. YES, the manuscript is important for scientific community as it helps to find out the efficacy of plant growth regulators to enhance vase life of flowers.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. No, the references are not sufficient and recent. Add more references. It should follow the standard style of esteemed IJECC. Meticulously examine all references in text which must be properly matched with bibliography. Cross check all the references in text as well as in list of references. References must be as per format of the journal and remove or replace references older than 10 years back.</p> <p>7. In line no. 3, it should not be written in italic form. It is highlighted by yellow color. Correct it carefully.</p> <p>8. In line no. 18, 48 & 169, don't put dots after sub headings. These are highlighted by yellow color. Correct it carefully.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The font size of whole document should be 12 and in Times New roman.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suneeta Singh
Department, University & Country	Shri Guru Ram Rai University, India