

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_103947</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of plant growth regulators on floral characteristics and vase life of Gladiolus (Gladiolus grandiflorus L.) Cv. Saffron</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	The author/s is/are commended for doing this kind of research. The paper is very good in its intention.  Title is suitable for this research.  Yes, The author comprises the abstract in a confined manner. But lack of concrete substance and data.  Yes, The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are organised in a sequential order. But very limited amount of results and discussions is presented. Yes, the authors conducted the experiments in proper way, but there is no detailed description, Photos and Evidence of the experiments conducted was lacking.  NO, The references cited are very less. Need more references and recent research papers to be cited. Included more number of review of literature suitable for the research work.  Month and Date of sowing should be included, as it is subjected to climatic consideration.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications needs improvement slightly.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	If Plates (images), layout of experiments, pictorial and graphical representations can be included.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>B. Kailashkumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mahendra Engineering College, India</b>