

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103645
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of root-knot nematode on okra through Bio-control agents
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, because paper provides information about biocontrol of root knot disease by nematodes</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>3.In abstract there must be primary introduction about the paper and then what they have done in this paper(in their research) they have to write . In abstract they have provided 4 biocontrol agents but in material , result & discussion only 3 biocontrol agents are there so please verify that.</p> <p>4. I think result & discussion instead to take separately should come in same title Some part of discussion i.e. Start from similar findings it should come in introduction because the references that they have provided the work is already done and in discussion author have to provide the information only about his work under this paper</p> <p>5. before result & discussion observation tables they have to include. Scientific name of Okara should be along its authority.</p> <p>Author have to provide photograph of shoot & root parameters as they have indicated in table along with fully grown plants with each biocotrol treatment set</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Randive Sonali Diliprao
Department, University & Country	D.B.F. Dayanand College of Arts & Science, India