

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_104120
Title of the Manuscript:	Game behavior of negative public opinion in colleges and universities and its rectification and optimization
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is. The subject is often discussed in colleges and universities around the world.</p> <p>The title is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>In my opinion, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent, However, as the text of the manuscript is in English, I suggest adding some references written in English, for instance the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Feiyang Yang, Baorong Xiao, Research on Cultivating Student Teams for Online Public Opinion in Universities in the Age of Self-Media, <i>Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research, volume 664, Proceedings of the 2022 8th International Conference on Humanities and Social Science Research (ICHSSR 2022)</i></li> <li>- Xiaoyuan Shi, Research on the Impact and Guidance of Public Opinion on Weibo and WeChat on College Students' Value Orientation, <i>Open Journal of Social Sciences, 2023, 11, 267-274</i></li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. I have highlighted a few words, where improvement may be appropriate: "analyze" may be changed in "Analysis" and "punishment will be increased" may be changed in "punishment will be more severe".</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rossella Marisi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Conservatorio "Luisa D'Annunzio", Italy</b>