

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_103024
Title of the Manuscript:	THE INFLUENCE OF TEAM WORK AND SPIRITUAL MOTIVATION ON THE WORK PRODUCTIVITY OF VOLUNTEERS OF THE INDONESIAN SEDEKAH COMMUNITY FOUNDATION
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. But need few technologies for implementation</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Abstract should summarize the entire research work. But in abstract there is no information about Existing methodology.</p> <p>4. Need proper Architecture for working principle of the research work and Data Analysis algorithm is not explained clearly</p> <p>5. Correct. But need to improve . Not sufficient Methodologies and proper technologies are used for implementation in overall papers, Only theoretical contents in this research Article, No Algorithm and No Statistical data for the input parameters.</p> <p>6. The latest papers can be added in related works section and References. Need to mention the numbering in the reference section and should be cited inside the article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Shankar
Department, University & Country	Hindusthan College of Engineering and Technology, India