

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_103515
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF QUALITY ASSURANCE MEASURES ON STUDENTS PERFORMANCE IN REFRIGERATION AND AIRCONDITIONING WORKS IN TECHNICAL COLLEGES IN SOUTHWEST, NIGREIA
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This article can add value to the scientific community. Particularly the emphasis on the assessment of students' contributes to the related literature.</p> <p>The title of article is suitable. However, the it should be revised due to grammar rules. Students word must be written Students'. NIGREIA should be written NIGERIA.</p> <p>Abstract is clear and summary of the research.</p> <p>Results and Discussion title should be changed to the Results.</p> <p>Yes, they are correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Punctuation rules must be obeyed. E.g. there are many unfinished sentences, at the of some paragraphs, there is no full stop...The author should correct them.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article has an impact on technical education's quality assurance processes. In general, it gives an aspect on improving quality of vocational colleges in southwest Nigreia.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Duygu Yalman Polatlar
Department, University & Country	Fatih Sultan Mehmet Vakıf University, Türkiye