

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_103722
Title of the Manuscript:	Community-Based Disaster Risk Management: A Good Practice of Rural Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, for sure it is. Because disaster risk management should be understood at the community level. This will help the community to better understand the risk and risk communication will be better understood and applied especially in developing countries where the people for earning a simple life should work from early in the morning till late in the night so by this means they won't have enough time to participate in risk reduction processes and they cannot understand the risk management importance and process function. In brief this research will be a step forward especially in rural context. 2. Yes and concise enough for the addressee and the researchers to benefit from it, but it seems that if the "Rural Bangladesh" could have been proposed in other words to better explain that what the authors have meant to say and their purpose it would be much more better. 3. No, it seems that the author should have explained the methodology completely, the study area should have been defined, and future study should have been purposed and as such should be completed. In relation to the key words the "Rural Bangladesh" and as such should be added a words which can help the addressee and researchers to better find the study or to better say the article to be beneficial for the scientific community. 4. In section entitled as: "2. Existing situation of DRM in Bangladesh", the statistics are given mainly from the year 2015 which is far behind the statistics today! So it seems that the statistics should be updated and up to date! Research methodology section is incomplete which should be completed! Section entitled as: "6. Conclusion and way forward" should be rearranged and written in a scientific manner. 5. After allaying the proposed corrections, yes. 6. It seems that more verity of sources especially from the recent years meaning the years 2023 and 2022 should be added so that it can help the manuscript to rise to higher level of scientific status. 7. The manuscript should be edited from the literal and technical point of view.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. The manuscript should be edited from the literal and technical point of view	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bijan Yavar
Department, University & Country	Allameh Tabataba'i University, Iran