

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Archives of Current Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ACRI_99894 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | MOLECULAR VARIANTS OF HUMAN PAPILLOMAVIRUS AMONG INDIVIDUALS ATTENDING HEALTHCARE CHECKUP IN EVERIGHT DIAGNOSTIC AND LABORATORY SERVICES OWERRI, IMO STATE, NIGERIA. |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>suitable</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Well written study. Findings are valueable. The authors cn add the studies as references below to increase the value of content of manuscript. Sarier M, Usta SS, Turgut H, et al. Prognostic value of HPV DNA in Urothelial Carcinoma of the Bladder: A Preliminary Report of 2-Year Follow-up Results. <i>Urol J.</i> 2021;19(1):45-49. doi:10.22037/uj.v18i.6429 Sarier M, Sepin N, Emek M, et al. Evaluation of the optimal sampling approach for HPV genotyping in circumcised heterosexual men with genital warts. <i>J Infect Chemother.</i> Published online January 2023. doi:10.1016/J.JIAC.2023.01.017</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name: | Mehmet Sarier |
| Department, University & Country | Istinye University, Turkey |