

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_99894
Title of the Manuscript:	MOLECULAR VARIANTS OF HUMAN PAPILLOMAVIRUS AMONG INDIVIDUALS ATTENDING HEALTHCARE CHECKUP IN EVERIGHT DIAGNOSTIC AND LABORATORY SERVICES OWERRI, IMO STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript). <del>Yes. Yes.</del></p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? <u>Ok.</u> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? <u>ok</u></p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? <u>ok</u></p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? <u>Ok, but have corrections to do.</u></p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>It is ok.</u></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><u>Look the commentary in the abstract (Is it a result of the study? If is not, exclude it.)</u></p> <p><u>Where is the objective? It is necessary in the introduction.</u></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? <u>ok</u></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

Formatted: pf0

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, English (United States)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, English (United States)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, English (United States)

Formatted: Normal

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Léia Carolina Lucio</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, Brazil</b>