

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_103853
Title of the Manuscript:	Elements and Dimensions of Emergencies Preparedness and Response among Large Worship Centres in South-South Region of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The minimal preparedness for emergencies is mandatory to any organizations. Having first aid facility, placing fire extinguishers, having emergency exits, display of emergency contacts along with protocol/plan for managing major emergencies. Hence this article is important to know the preparedness of worship centers where large communities will gather.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Minor revisions only	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Emergency preparedness is important for the employees also. This ensures workers in worship are have the necessary equipment, know where to go, and know how to keep themselves safe and others when an emergency occurs. So mention how many respondents were workers in those worship centers. Mention details on ethical committee clearance Respondents' mentioned in text is 375. Actually (according to figures given in Tables, result section) it should be 381. In last line of result section the number should be 162 instead of 1622 In discussion, the minimal requirement for preparedness for emergency situations as per government protocol can be mentioned and also type of emergencies anticipated (like minor emergencies, fire emergency or disaster management) can be mentioned. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Mention the Institutional ethical board approval status and mention about the informed consent from the respondents</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rashmi Venkatesh
Department, University & Country	Sumandeep Vidyapeeth Deemed to be University, India