

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_102540
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of diatomite on the physico-mechanical properties of compressed earth blocks
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>-The title of the article was consistent with suggested method in the text. The abstract of the article is complete from the point of view of scientific writing. This article has keywords. In the introduction, the importance of the research topic is stated. The purpose of the study is stated according to previous studies. One of the strengths of this article is the comparison of research findings with similar studies.</p> <p>-There are some of grammar mistakes and typos in manuscript. This manuscript is well written, adequately structured and interesting. I recommend this manuscript for the publication.</p> <p>Is a nice Review Article, the manuscript requires Minor Revision.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please observe the correct spacing between comma and the rest of the sentence. - The number of references is enough for one review manuscript (34). -in reference section: the words with wrong spacing have been highlighted. - In the section "References" all references must be written in the same way. Journals Name is sometimes abbreviated, Please check. But we want to encourage the authors to redo some points of the manuscript that need to be improved so that it can reach high quality standards. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>This manuscript is well written, adequately structured and interesting. I recommend this manuscript for the publication. I hope that these propositions will facilitate the improvement of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I recommend to accept and publish this manuscript as soon as possible in you reputed journal.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Asadi
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran