

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International.
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_104327
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Information needs behavior of apple growers in district Baramulla of Jammu and Kashmir (UT)
Type of the Article	Policy Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>No, the title of the article is not suitable. Is it only one variable independent, without any research, it is exactly understood that information is important, but it needs comparison to another independent variables,</p> <p>No, the abstract is not comprehensive.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate, no theory</p> <p>There are not sufficient and recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language/English quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The writer must correct :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title 2. The introduction (no the nature of the problem, previous work, purpose, and contribution of the article) 3. There is no Literature review 4. The research methodology (there are no research design, techniques of data collection and analysis) 5. The conclusion 6. References 7. Grammar 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Setiasih Dra
Department, University & Country	Indonesia