

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103697
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Vermicompost and Neem cake on Soil Health and Yield attributes of Cluster bean (<i>Cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> L.) var. Pusa Naubahar
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This study is important due to the amount of land cultivated with this crop and the income for farmers. Providing recommendations for crop nutrition can improve yields and income.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes; however, two citations in text are not listed in References section (Kherawat et al., 2013 and Walkley and Black, 1947). On the other hand, three references listed are not cited in text (Bouyoucos, 1927; Brown and Cotton, 2011; and Chavan et al., 2015)</p> <p>In Materials and Methods please describe the amounts in Kha⁻¹ of NPK, Vermicompost and Neem cake for each treatment in order to show the best recommendation in Conclusion section. Budget analysis is not shown neither in Materials and Methods nor in Results and Discussion.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Proofreading could be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Suggested corrections are marked in the manuscript, red to delete, green to add, and yellow to observe.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ignacio Carranza Cerda
Department, University & Country	Colegio de Postgraduados, México