

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104152
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Mulching Material on Watermelon [Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.)] Hybrid Under Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, provide an outline of the research for the scientific community</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Introduce the importance of research in 2-3 lines</p> <p>4. Yes, must follow journal guidelines for author</p> <p>5. Yes, Table needs to be updated as mentioned under reviewer comments. The manuscript required some graphs for the most appropriate growth and yielding characters. Treatment comparisons need to be mentioned with letters in superscript for parameters.</p> <p>6. No, all missing from the body of the manuscript. Must follow journal guidelines for author</p> <p>Thesis writing and manuscript are different. See Standard Research Papers for Writing a Manuscript</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	-	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Revised as per the comments provided on the body of the manuscript	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yogesh Ashok Garde
Department, University & Country	Navsari Agricultural University, India