

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103406
Title of the Manuscript:	Wastewater Problems Analysis and Their Treatment Using Different Eco-Friendly Techniques : An Overview
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. Dealing with wastewater treatment in order to preserve and protect the natural waters is of great importance considering the significance of good quality water for all living organisms and the survival of people on Earth.</p> <p>2. I am not sure that title is suitable. Different eco-friendly techniques are mentioned in the title while article is focused only on the treatment by phyto-accumulation. Thus title should be revised accordingly.</p> <p>3. Abstract has too many details and too long sentences. It should be revised in order to be very brief and concise without unnecessary details.</p> <p>4. It could be better. Some subtitles are confusing, especially "Solution of Problem". This part should be written more clear and with enough information.</p> <p>5. Since this manuscript is a review article, it should be revised with more information especially in part of the treatment techniques. In that part is a lack of information, it should be more detail and there is a lack of references that support this part.</p> <p>6. References are mostly fine. There are some that should be of newer date and some of them should be added in the reference list (I commented this directly into the manuscript).</p> <p>Manuscript dealing with important issue, but the emphasis must be placed on state of the art eco-friendly wastewater treatment methods. There are quite enough typos in the text that must be corrected in revised version of the article. The detail comments and suggestions I gave directly into the Word version of the manuscript which is attached.</p> <p>The article needs significant and serious revision before possible publication in International Journal of Environment and Climate Change.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I am not a native English speaker so I cannot judge about the English style. Still, there are typos and some technical errors in the manuscript which I commented and which should and must be corrected in revised version.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Since article is a review type it must be expanded in the section it deals with wastewater treatment methods.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivona Nuić
Department, University & Country	University of Split, Croatia