

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_103275</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Doubling farmer income through cultivating headed broccoli (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>Itilica</i>) under different Irrigation Regimes and Water Saving Techniques</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes, but required revision as per the correction made</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes but almost many references are missing</b> <b>Missing References:</b> 1. Bhatta and Aggrawal, 2015 2. FAO 2017 3. Krishna Bahadur et al., 2018 4. World Bank 2022 5. Erken et al., 2013 6. Thapa and Rai (2012) 7. Tamang et al. (2017). 8. Etc.....	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes need to be revised	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Paper is good, but need to improve language and all the references	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>K. Boomiraj</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agro Climate Research Centre, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</b>