

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_104092
Title of the Manuscript:	Shoot Culture of Ocimum sp and Its Phytochemical profile
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1-The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it presents valuable findings on in vitro propagation and secondary metabolite production in Ocimum sp., which can contribute to the understanding of plant tissue culture techniques and the potential applications of these plants in medicine and industry.</p> <p>2- The title of the article seems suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the study on in vitro culture and secondary metabolite production in Ocimum sp.</p> <p>3- The abstract of the article appears to be comprehensive, providing a clear overview of the study's objectives, methods, results, and conclusions.</p> <p>4-The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate, allowing for a logical flow of information and easy navigation of the study's different aspects.</p> <p>5- Based on the provided information, it appears that the manuscript is scientifically correct and presents well-supported findings.</p> <p>6- The references provided seem relevant and cover a range of studies related to in vitro culture and secondary metabolites. However, it might be beneficial to include more recent references, if available, to ensure the latest developments in the field are adequately represented in the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Based on the information provided in the manuscript excerpts, the language and English quality appear to be suitable for scholarly communications. The text is well-written, coherent, and organized with proper scientific terminology.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ehsan Khodadadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Azad University of Tabriz, Iran</b>