

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_102944
Title of the Manuscript:	Cardiovascular effect of the fermented seeds of Parkia biglobosa on rabbits <i>Oryctolagus cuniculus</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Yes	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Add these references	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Musara C, Aladejana EB, Mudyiwa SM, Karavina C. Parkia biglobosa (Mimosaceae): Botany, uses, phytochemical properties and pharmacological potential. Journal of Pharmacy and Nutrition Sciences. 2020;10:101-15.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Dedehou VF, Olounladé PA, Alowanou GG, Azando EV, Hounzangbé-Adoté S. A review on medicinal plants of Parkia biglobosa (Mimosaceae-Fabaceae) and Pterocarpus erinaceus (Leguminosae-Papilionoidea). JMPS. 2016;4(6):132-7.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Accept article	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tariq Javed
Department, University & Country	Government College University, Pakistan