

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_102944
Title of the Manuscript:	Cardiovascular effect of the fermented seeds of Parkia biglobosa on rabbits Oryctolagus cuniculus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes it is important because of cardiovascular diseases are plays a harshening effect globally on human health. Authors are approximately reaches towards their objective but in next level certainly this work will reach to next level.</p> <p>Yes the title of the article is appropriately suitable.</p> <p>Yes the abstract of the article is completely comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriately, quite changes suggested in minor comments</p> <p>Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>No, references are not sufficient and try to add some recent ones.</p> <p>Author should rewrite the conclusion section entirely. Author may add the supportive relevant studies in Introduction section.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Need to improve the English	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Author may add the digital images (while experimenting one )in the manuscript.  Follow author guidelines	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Darshana B. Bhisare</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>NVC, MAFSU, India</b>