

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_103352
Title of the Manuscript:	AI AND CYBER KILL CHAIN: A RESEARCH FRAMEWORK
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is relevant for the scientific community. It presents a theoretical framework which introduces the Cyber Kill Chain model with a Unified Kill Chain model to address the main aspects of Artificial Intelligence in Cybersecurity It is a very interesting topic for the scientific community.</p> <p>The title of the paper is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>There are no relevant issues related to the references used in the manuscript.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The quality of the language used in the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>There are several major comments for the author:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Section Introduction should include how the paper is organized (sections and sub-sections). It would help to understand the structure of the paper from the beginning. 2. Include potential future works to improve the conclusions of the paper. There are not potential works identified to continue with this researching. Is this researching finished? Are there not future steps to complete the study? 3. The conclusions of the paper should be clarified: Can this analysis be extrapolated or compared with other AI Frameworks? It is related as well with the previous comment. 4. According to the paper in the section "E: Discussion" it mentions that <i>"the theoretical framework introduces the Cyber Kill Chain model and its limitations"</i>, and <i>"Overall, the discussion section highlights the potential of AI in strengthening cybersecurity defences and addresses the limitations of traditional security approaches."</i> Could you, please, describe, in detail, these limitations? 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jose Ramon Coz Fernandez
Department, University & Country	Spain