

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_103352
Title of the Manuscript:	AI AND CYBER KILL CHAIN: A RESEARCH FRAMEWORK
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Suitable Is the title of the article suitable? Yes Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Suitable Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? See below general comments Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? See below general comments Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. See below general comments</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>is necessary.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>My major comments are: Introduction section: - The problem and motivation should be clearly included in the introduction. - Authors should clearly state their contributions to the literature in the introduction. Literature review section: - Current studies published in prestigious journals should also be included. - It is recommended to prepare a table containing the pros and cons of the existing studies and add it to the article. Conceptual Framework section - It should be explained verbally in more detail in this section.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farzad Kiani
Department, University & Country	Fatih Sultan Mehmet Vakif University, Turkey