

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_103115
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-hyperglycemic effect of the aqueous extract of Carapa procera on rats submitted to a high-calorie diet
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community, especially for the food and nutrition community, and for the prevention of diabetes and also curative diabetes together with therapy with medicine.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is not comprehensive yet.</p> <p>4. The subsection and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes I do</p> <p>6. The references are not recent yet, if possible can pick up 10 years ago.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, is it	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript needs the addition of the discussed mechanism of the bioactive compound in hyperglycemic rats and the value of bioactive compounds that are contained in the plant extract.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hapsari Sulistya Kusuma
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang, Indonesia