

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_103851
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Awareness Towards Emergency Response Preparedness Among Large Worship Centres In South-South Region of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Abstract needs to bring about the importance of the study. Many grammatical errors are there in the whole manuscript.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References need to be checked thoroughly. Some superficial references had been cited. However, the references are sufficient and recent but authenticated sources must be used for citing references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>No, grammatical errors mostly in the use of article (a, an, the) persist in the entire manuscript. Because of grammatical errors, the meaning of some sentences is not understandable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The references should include published articles/reports by an authenticated source (journals, government websites, published books). In this manuscript, the authors have mentioned the references from the internet, where the data/study material may not necessarily be authenticated.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shikha Uniyal Gairola
Department, University & Country	Law College Dehradun, Uttarakhand University, India