

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_95369</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Students' Perception of Online Learning Quality and Preference for the Online Learning Model</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>		
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, all schools at vary levels around the world have adopted distance learning. This research study has its merits. The authors present great information regarding literature review, methods, results and discussion, and conclusion and recommendation.</p> <p>But the information under Abstract is needed for revision because the information presented under each heading does not really match with the designated heading. Moreover, the duration of study shows between <b>June 2009 and July 2010</b>, which does not match the period of the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>The authors need to double check with the information in the last two paragraphs on page 3. It seems that the authors left out one or two lines of information in the beginning of each paragraph. On page 10, <b>374</b> is a typo under Table 5 <b>Perceived Service Quality</b>.</p> <p>On page 12, the authors state, "The study also showed that neither a student's gender nor class had any bearing on the favorable association between their perceived quality and desire for online learning." I did not see the findings based on the students' gender.</p> <p>There are some grammatical errors. The authors might use the free software like <a href="http://www.grammarly.com">www.grammarly.com</a> to check the paper or hire an editor to edit the paper.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hwangji Lu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The University of Arizona, USA</b>