

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMCS_103134</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>DATA ARCHIVAL METHODOLOGY IN ENTERPRISE RESOURCE PLANNING APPLICATIONS (ORACLE ERP, PEOPLESFT)</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> Ans: Yes.</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> Ans: Looks good to me.</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> Ans: Yes.</p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Ans: Yes.</p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> Ans: Yes.</p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form</b> Ans: Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>For detail information can Author(s) refer manuscript for the comments?</p> <p>1) Instead of using link, can Author(s) use references number and tag in reference?</p> <p>2) I wouldn't recommend using upper case in the manuscript</p> <p>3) Author(s) may want to use tag references instead using name and year</p> <p>4) Although, there are links used in the other references, Author(s) may want to maintain consistency throughout manuscript. Can Author(s) use APA formatting?</p> <p>5) Author(s) may want to give space after end of the sentence</p> <p>6) Author(s) may want to revise the article to correct grammatical mistakes</p> <p>7) There is only one figure. Author(s) may want to add few more figures</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manu Mitra
Department, University & Country	University of Bridgeport, USA