

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_103475
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical properties and fertility level of the vertisols surface horizons for muskuwaari [<i>Sorghum bicolor</i> (L.) Moench] cultivation in the Sudano-Sahelian zone of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes (Keywords need modification with a new set of words as keywords should not be equal to the title of the paper)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes (A few more suggested)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Very good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research article is nicely written with an elaborative discussion.</p> <p>1. I congratulate the authors for nicely depicting the research results and discussion.</p> <p>2. However, the article needs minor modification in terms of the serial number as statistical analysis is a part of materials and methods. Hence, the number 3 assigned to it should be the last heading of point 2 (i.e.2.5 instead of 3).</p> <p>3. The names of the elements such as nitrogen, potassium, phosphorous, calcium, etc. should either start with capital or small letters. In my opinion, it should start with a small letter and uniformity should be maintained throughout the entire article.</p> <p>4. Table number should not be given at the bottom of the table. The number and title should be at the top of the table but the figure captions can be at the bottom.</p> <p>5. In my opinion, a few more (2-3) such as DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-021-01074-y may please be added to enrich the discussion part of the article.</p> <p>6. The manuscript sticks to the journal style but still I request the authors to take care of the reference style and modify it as per the journal style.</p> <p>7. DOI should be added to each reference at the end</p> <p>8. Keywords should not be the repetition of the words in the title</p> <p>all corrections by clicking accept all in the track change mode.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanat Kumar Dwibedi
Department, University & Country	Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India