

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104399
Title of the Manuscript:	Insights into rainfall extremities across the agroclimatic zones of Tamil Nadu, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is of considerable interest to the scientific community at a time when the world is facing an upsurge in climatic hazards.</p> <p>The title is appropriate</p> <p>The abstract should be balanced by mentioning areas with high rainfall, as well as areas with high dry periods.</p> <p>The structure of the article needs to be balanced, particularly in Title 2: Materials and methods. It is relevant to create a title 2.1. which will be entitled "material" and which will have as subtitle the current titles 2.1; 2.2 and 2.3 and the title 2.4 will become 2.2 "methodology of the study".</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>More than half of the references used are between 2020-2023 and the oldest dates back to 2008. Overall, these references are those relating to climate issues that are currently acceptable.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, English is now the language of choice for scientific publications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Scientific work should add value to scientific research and provide solutions to a problem. It would be more interesting if this work could highlight the known consequences suffered in these areas over the years during periods of heavy rainfall and droughts. For example, known cases of flooding, droughts, etc.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lawson Tevi Atator
Department, University & Country	University of Lomé, Togo